

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,571	01/10/2002	Andrew Myers	23452-148	5212	
29315	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER		
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			ESCALANTE, OVIDIO		
SUITE 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, V	RESTON, VA 20190			7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	. /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/041,571	MYERS ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ovidio Escalante	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first purpose of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	· //·	тио арроат.
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE helow):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	300 140 12 B010W),
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	·	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:	- , -	•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449)Paper No(s). 🚗	an t rang
10. Other:		RY PATENT EXAMINER LOGY CENTER 2600.
1		Ju]_
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advisor	ory Action	Part of Paper No. 7

U.S. Patent and Trademark UTHIC PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application/Control Number: 10/041,571

Art Unit: 2645

Advisory Action

Applicants contend that Becker does not teach or suggest at least the feature of a message forwarder that enables forwarding of audible messages, wherein the annotation associated with the audible messages are forwarded at the option of the subscriber.

Applicants argue that regarding paragraph 51, Becker teaches of sessions rather than messages and the sessions use text rather than audible messaging sessions. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

While paragraph 51 does teach of messaging sessions, the Examiner believes that the messaging session, which includes a message either from or directed to a "PAL", reads on the claimed messages since the message session as a whole is considered to be a message to/from a user (PAL). For Example, in fig. 1, the message session ("ANNE") has the message "Hello, Zak, I am fine. How are you?" and the annotation to that message is indicated in box 136 as "Just fine. Can you meet me now in Conference Room B to discuss the project?". The user of the interface shown in figure 1 can then forward this message session, which includes the message with or without annotation as shown in paragraph 81, to a PAL user or archives.

Applicant further argue that Becker fails to teach or suggest a notes field, including annotation associated with any type of session and/or any type of message. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In paragraph 81, Becker specifically teaches an example of the forward command, as shown in FIG. 1, which allows the user <u>to annotate</u>, <u>if desired</u>, <u>and forward</u> an entire messaging session to another pal. Since the messaging session <u>includes a message</u> then Becker clearly teaches of annotating messages and forwarding the message to another recipient.

Applicants contend that Becker does not disclose annotating a message prior to forwarding the message. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 2645

Paragraph 81, clearly discloses that a user can annotate a message prior to forwarding the message to another user or forwarding the message to the archives.

Furthermore, while the Examiner acknowledges that Becker teaches forwarding text messages rather than audible messages to another pal or to the user's archives with annotations as disclosed in paragraph 56, Becker provides for a plurality of different media situations. In paragraph 36, Becker teaches that messages from multiple messaging-enabled devices may be received, Becker further teaches in paragraph 5 that messaging methods include voice messaging, electronic messaging, email fax, instant messaging, conferencing and chat. Therefore, Becker teaches that voice messages may be received and sent. This is further emphasized in paragraph 47 in which Becker discloses that many different types of messaging are possible including, audio messaging services. Each pal can uses a plurality of different devices including telephones.

In paragraphs 86-89, Becker uses a scenario, which involves audible messaging. Becker teaches that a user uses a microphone to leave a message for a pal. The user can then forward that message to other pals.

Therefore, since the user interface of Becker provides an interface for a plurality of different media formats then the Examiner believes that Becker discloses forwarding audible messages to another user and that the combination of Wilcox and Becker would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art since forwarding of voice messages with annotations was well known in the art and so that additional information can be shared among users.

Therefore, in view of the above response the Examiner is maintaining the rejection as set forth in the Final Office Action.